

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,198	1	10/31/2001	Jeffrey Erdfarb	12186/1 4144		
26646	7590	07/01/2003				
	N & KENY	/ON	EXAMINER			
ONE BRO	DADWAY RK, NY 10	0004		COHEN, AMY R		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2859		
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/003,198	ERDFARB, JEFFREY	•
,. ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amy R Cohen	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	5
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim 	cation. A proper reply tich places the application	on in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See N	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance states are stated to state and the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate extensi the final Office action; or (2) a	on fee under as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. $igtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	f be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed ar	nendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were i	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			na t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
Uo. See Continuation Sheet	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_	
	100 8		
	Diego Gutie		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Technology Center 2800 Part of Paper No. 8





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues to claim 12, i.e. the addition of actual and non-actual scales to the method, that were not present in the finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: The arguments regarding the finally rejected claims are non-persuasive. Examiner maintains that the prior art does, in fact, teach both actual and non-actual scales as stated in paragraphs 2-4 of the Office Action dated 11 April 2003.